IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

K. BIEKER CLERK OF THE COURT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALB CIRIL
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

CORRESPONDENCE DKT 05000806562

Vs.

NATHAN MEDINA

Defendant.

The court is in possession of your Motion for Discovery received on September 20, 2024, and December 9, 2024.

You have not shown that your trial attorney had a copy of the material you seek but lost the material. A statement that you sent a letter to your trial attorney on September 4, 2024, is insufficient. The letter that you sent requests a full and complete copy of the trial file, and index of the discovery provided, and a Certificate of Compliance is an overreach. Your trial counsel must give you only what he has.

You were recently represented by Deputy Public Defender Teresa Hernandez on a request for resentencing pursuant to Penal Code section 1172.6, which was denied by Judge Kennedy on November 12, 2024. The court would expect the topic of your trial attorneys file would have arisen during this time period.

Until you can demonstrate your diligence in obtaining the file, the court declines to set a compliance date.

Dated: /-27-2025

Pátricia Scanlon

Judge of the Superior Court,

Department 3

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

ACTION NO: 05000806562

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of perjury that I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, employed in Contra Costa County, and not a party to the within action; that my business address is Court House, 1020 Ward St., Martinez, California, 94553 that I served the attached Order by causing to be placed a true copy thereof in an envelope addressed to the parties or attorneys for the parties, as shown below, which envelope was than sealed and postage fully prepaid thereon, and thereafter was deposited in the United States mail at Martinez, California, on date shown below; that there is delivery service by the United States Mail between the place of mailing and the place addressed.

Nathan Medina #AA4500 Soledad State Prison PO Box 705 Soledad, CA 93969

Attorney Dirk Manoukian C/O Placer County District Attorney's Office 10810 Justice Center Dr #240 Roseville, CA 95678

Contra Costa Public Defenders Office Teresa Hernandez DPD 800 Ferry St Martinez, CA 94553

Contra Costa District Attorney Caleb Webster DDA 900 Ward St Martinez, CA 94553

I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct. Executed at Martinez, California, on 1 125

K. BEKER, CLERK OF THE COURT

By: J. Arnold, Deputy Clerk

FELONY MISDEMEANOR CLERK'S DOCKET MINUTES

	FENDANT <u>MEDINA NATHAD</u> DRESS <u>2472 MORELLO HELDRIS (TROLE)</u>	M			1/12/2024 TIME 9:30 AM
AU!	C. H.C Day COS C. PROR		DEFENSE ATTORNEY HTRNANDEZ	** *	TIME NOT WAIVED
CH	C. HG - Dec. OSC PROB STODIAL STATUS INACTIVE SP-CO-122 ARGES: 001 (FEL) "PC 107" FGAL, 0	10	nal Traking Feelilty - Soleclad, C	4	and the second s
ÇH	ARGES 001 (FEL) "PC 187" - EGAL 0	102	TELL "PC 187 (01/654(4)" - PG	L.	Example of the Control of the Contro
	03 (FEL) "PC 459/460(a)" - FGZ. 0				
JUL	oge J. Kennedy		REPORTER J. Burnett		CLERKI Quintaro
	HENCE TS		COURT REPORTER		CLERK E ROMENO
	DEPT JUDGE			W	
A	Def proceeds PMDER Appearance waived	A Ft	Handed copy of ☐ Petition to Revoke ☐ Information / Indictment ☐ PX / GJ transcript	A	Bench Warrant to issue
P	Def appears The Custody Def not appearing With / by Alty Pub Def ADO THe counter	R	☐ Complaint/Discovery ☐ Bail Study	Я	Bail set at \$
A	Deputy District Alty G. Chiarella.	A.	☐ Formal arraignment waived: Reading of Compit	Ħ	☐ No Cite/PTA release ☐ No vol app
R	☐ Court Probation Officer ☐ Interp ☐ cert ☐ non-cert	G	Duly arraigned Video on Prob. Viol.	N	☐ Hold until ☐ Ball forfailed & continued 190 days
A	swom / path on file / qualified per Rule 2.893	N	Answers true name as charged	T /	Recalled Set aside Remain out
C	☐ OrderInterp ☐ Coord. Notified	K E	and the second section of the second section s	8	Bail experated
E	☐ Refer to PD / PROB B Study / PPR / ☐ Confl. Notified B PD / PVT ☐ accepts ☐ Jaliever! ☐ appt d	N T	Deny enhancements	A	Bail forfeiture set aside & reinstated
ĺ	170.8 / 171 CCP filed : Order Granted G Denied	•	☐ Plea of not guilty entered	Ł.	upon payment of fee \$
		Ö	☐ Time not waiver! ☐ PC977 waiver filed ☐ Obey all taws ☐ Use true name / DQB		
L	☐ Written plea filed ☐ Pleads Guilty. Ct. # ☐ Pleads no contest, Found Guilty Ct #	Ř	Do Not use any alcohol : drugs w/o Rx	ō	TRIAL:
E A	Pleads Not guilty / Found Not guilty by reason of insanity	C	☐ Attend AA / NA meetings per week and	N	PX:
S	Admits / denies prices anhancements / strikes	N	present proof at each court appearance	ì	PTC/SET
w	☐ Rights given / waived ☐ App. Rights given / waived	8	☐ Submit to search/testing for ☐ Re-ref / Reinstate L1 / L2 / PCDDP	N	☐ FUTURE DATES CONFIRMED
Ą	☐ Per PC1192.5 ☐ Time for Misdo Trial / Sent waived / not waived	-	☐ Not drive unless properly licensed and insured	A	Time waived to +10 / 60 days
V	Time for Fel PX / Trial 10 : 60 days waived / not waived		☐ Destroy / Return Weapon	M	☐ Vacate date of
R	Time for FV = 15 / 45 days waised / not waised	N. IN	☐ SCRAM / GPS ☐ Ordered ☐ Removed	E	Def must / need not appear
8	Homer Court ROMENET LEWIS OFFICE	1 100	Defences levely People's father opports takes finding as reported. Count/Formal Prob yes nos	9	Trailing Last Day
	writes water a represent	100	TO STOKE TO STOKE IN THE STOKE	fs.	\$
M	Defendant held to answer at PX hearing To People (Def Mollop to / for / cont 1/1)	R	☐ No Probation	E	Court declares a doubt / Crim proc susp
Ŧ	People Del objected No action taken	0	Adult Pre-Trial / Pre Plea / Diversion	1	Court appoints Dr
o	Granted Denied Submitted	A	Prob / Div / Parole / PRCS Reinst'd / Ext./ Modif	8	Purs PC288.1 / PC1368 Cl Counsel submit on Dr / CONREP Report
N	info / Indictifient/Complaint amended on its face to	T	to ☐ Def given / waived rights to Revoc Hg: admits /	8	dated marked as EXH
) Si	add Ct # a violation of section Fel / Misd	0	dames allegation	•	Court finds def competent / incompetent to
a	Pursuant to 23103.5 CVC	N i	☐ Violation of Proh / Div / Parole / PRCS	1	stand trial
R	Substitute misdemeanor for felony where it appears	b	Prob. / Div. / Parole / PRCS revoked	7	Court refers det to CONREP / Adult MH
£	in Ct#	Ÿ	☐ In re law notice given ☐ Prob / Div / PRCS: Terminated:	O	☐ Del committed to
8	Per Court / DA dismiss Ct #	j D	Successfully / Unauccessfully / Denied		Max term of commitment
	Reasons	A	Crim proceedings susp / reinstated / dism		Local custody credits Meds voluntary / no consent
	Crim. protective order issued/modified/served on	R O	Standard terms & cond. of Probation given		Criminal proceedings reinstated
		14	☐ PC 296 sample ordered / verified ☐ Parole violation(s) sustained / not sustained .		
	- Disc namited as part at courd		is Exhibit 1. A objects court	will	Nds Carvidian
		4	County jail days / mos / yrs		ate Prison yrs mos
ter	☐ Prob Viol. Fine \$ ☐ PVRF/PRCS \$		days / mos / yrs credit		unty Jail yrs mos days
ŧ	(PC1202.44) suspended / imposed (PC1202.44)	M	days / mos / yrs suspended		and Sup. yrs mos days L M U yrs mos (cc) (cs)
K	☐ Victim restitution \$ ☐ Victim restitution TBD / Not ordered	I T	☐ Sentence to commence	C1	LMU yra mos (cc) (ca)
8	U VCB 5	М	Serve consecutive / concurrent with:	C1	L M U yrs mos (cc) (cs)
1	☐ \$41Thoft fee ☐ Lab Analysis fee \$	E	The state of the s		L M U yrs mos (cc) (cs) H yrs mos
F	☐ COA \$CCA \$ Pay ball of fine/ ☐ Susp after fine	T	☐ Jail ☐ SWAP ☐ No EHD ☐ EHD		IH yrs mos
E S	D hours Volunteer work by Fee \$	S	☐ Release from custody to program		TUAL CTS
239	Balance of fines / fees / rest to remain as ordered	R	Day-for-day credit for successful completion of approved day / mo / yr	CC	ONDUCT CTS
	☐ Make payments to Lineberger ☐ Other	e G	approved day / mo / yr residential treatment program		% lime credits
		I	☐ PC 290 registration ☐ SARATSO		Parote / PRCS advisement given
			Sent recall purs. to 1170 18 / Prop 47 dept resent		Paper commitment
	REMANDED Court Courtesy Ordered released		See attached additional orders On CR. [7] Remove to Appear [7] Contid on board	nnah	hait posted [1] Bhono calle
8a	set in the amount of \$		State Prison Commitment Committed to	custo	ody until santence is satisfied in full
TO	THE SHERIEF COMMITMENT I certify that this is a true	cop	y of the Entry of Judgment or Order and is your autho	rity fe	ortile execution thereof
DA	TED:				
L		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JUDGE U los dia - to t. Childry Salandad Billy Related		ON DENIED COURT

NATHAN MEDINA CTF North A Lassen B244 P.O. Box 705 Soledad, California 93960

IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Plaintiff, NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY IN ANTICIPATION OF FILING HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE 1054.9; POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION NATHAN MEDINA, Defendant.			TIME: 09:00 A.M. DATE: January 6, 2 0	25
Plaintiff,) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY IN ANTICIPATION OF FILING HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE 1054.9; POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION	NATHAN MEDINA,	Defendant.)	:
		Plaintif	f,) NOTICE OF MOTION A MOTION FOR DISCOVE IN ANTICIPATION OF FILING HABEAS CORP PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE 1054.9; POINTS AUTHORITIES;	ERY

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on January 6, 2025 at 09:00 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in the above-entitled Court, located at 1020 Ward St., Contra Costa, the Defendant will move the Court for an order compelling the People to disclose to Defendant in anticipation of the filing of a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. This Motion is authorized by <u>Penal Code section 1054.9</u>.

This motion is based upon the attached memorandum of points and authorities, the declaration of Nathan Medina, and on such oral and documentary evidence as may be presented to the Court at the hearing on the motion

Executed this 9-25-24	Signature

Nathan Medina

1054.9 Discovery: Medina: AA4500 Page 1 of 6 NATHAN MEDINA CTF North A Lassen B244 P.O. Box 705 Soledad, California 93960

IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

		TIME: 09:00 A.M. DATE: January 6,	2025
	•	,	
	Defendant.		•
NATHAN MEDINA,	;)	
	•) DECLARATION ·	
) AUTHORITIES;	
) CODE 1054.9; POIN	TS AND
) PURSUANT TO PEN	
) FILING HABEAS CO	
	v.) IN ANTICIPATION O	
	V.) NOTICE OF MOTION) MOTION FOR DISCO	
	Plaintiff	· •	1 4 5 7 10
THE PEOPLE OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORN	, -,	-2

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on January 6, 2025 at 09:00 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in the above-entitled Court, located at 1020 Ward St., Contra Costa, the Defendant will move the Court for an order compelling the People to disclose to Defendant in anticipation of the filing of a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. This Motion is authorized by Penal Code section 1054.9.

This motion is based upon the attached memorandum of points and authorities, the declaration of Nathan Medina, and on such oral and documentary evidence as may be presented to the Court at the hearing on the motion

Executed this	9-25-24	Signature	Carrier Commence

Nathan Medina

1054.9 Discovery: Medina: AA4500 Page 1 of 6

MEMORANDUM OF POINT AND ATUHORITIES

Penal Code § 1054.9 grants persons convicted of a crime and sentenced to death, to life without possibility of parole, or to prison for 15 or more years for violent or serious felony, the right to obtain discovery from the People to help those persons file a "postconviction writ of habeas corpus or motion to vacate a judgment". Pen. Code § 1054.9; see also <u>In re Steel, 32 Cal.4th 682, 691 (2004)</u>. (authorizing tiling of a motion under section 1054.9 by person "preparing to file" such a writ or motion). Section 1054.9 authorizes three categories of discovery. Defendant seeks the following categories:

(1) All material in defense counsel's trial file.

Under Section 1054.9, a criminal defendant is entitled to a copy of the "materials that the defense once possessed but has since lost." *In re Steel*, 32 Cal.4th at 693. To obtain a reconstructed copy of defense counsel's file, the moving party only has to show that (1) trial counsel's files are incomplete; (2) he or she made "good faith efforts" to obtain the missing materials from trial counsel; and (3) he or she did not succeed in these efforts. *Id.* at 693-94; *Hurd v. Super. Ct.* 144 Cal.App.4th 1100. 1107 (2006).: *Barnett v. Super. Ct.*, 50 Cal.4th 890, 898 (2010)., *Kennedy v. Super. Ct.*, 145 Cal.App.4th 359, 366 (2006). (burden rests with defendant).

Defendant has made this showing. (see Exhibit A, Affidavit of Nathan Medina)

Because Defendant has made this showing, he is entitled to have the People produce everything that was in the defense's discovery file at the time of trial <u>Catlin v.</u> <u>Super. Ct., 51 Cal.4th 300, 305 (2011)</u>. ("The language could not be plainer: If that showing is made, the defendant is entitled to discovery.") Defendant is not required to identify the documents sought or demonstrate that the prosecution still possesses them. *Barnet,* 50 Cal.4th at 898.

1054.9 Discovery: Medina: AA4500

(2) All materials to which Defendant would have been entitled

Penal Code § 1054.9 also grants a criminal defendant the right to have the People produce all materials "to which the defendant was actually entitled at the time of trial, but did not receive." *In re Steel*, 32 Cal.4th at 695; Pen. Code § 1054.9(b). To obtain such materials – which go beyond "file reconstruction" – the defendant must show:

(1) That he attempted to obtain the materials from trial counsel and has failed. Pen. Code § 1054.9(a); *In re Steel*, 32 Cal.4th at 697;

Procedurally, the defense must show that it informally requested the sought after evidence from the prosecution and wait-15 days for the Prosecution to comply. <u>Hall v.</u> Superior Court, 133 Cal.App.4th 908, 918 (2005).

2. That he was entitled, "at time of trial," to materials he seeks in the motion. Pen. Code § 1054.9(b). Such materials include: (a) materials "the prosecution did provide at time of trial but have since become lost to the defendant," In re Steel. 32 Cal.4th at 697; (b) materials "the prosecution should have provided at the time of trial because" they came within (i) "the scope of a discovery order the trial court actually issued at that time," I'd. at 697: "the prosecution had no obligation to provide at the time of trial absent specific defense request, but to which the defendant would have been entitled at time of trial had the defendant specifically requested them." Id. at 697; (ii) "a statutory duty to provide discovery," I'd. such as the Criminal Discovery Act; or (iii) the People's "constitutional duty to disclose exculpatory evidence," I'd. whether or not it is material, Barnett, 50 Cal.4th at 900-01; (c) materials "the prosecution should have provided at the time of trial because the defense specifically requested them at that time and was entitled to receive them," In re Steele, 32 Cal. 4th at 697; and (d) materials "the prosecution had no obligation to provide at the time of trial absent specific derense request, but to which the defendant would have been entitled at time of trial had the

> 1054.9 Discovery: Medina: AA4500 Page 3 of 6

defendant specifically requested them," <u>I'd. at 697</u>. This includes materials that could have been requested in a so called Pitches motion for law enforcement records, if they are material to the habeas proceeding. <u>Hurd v. Super. Ct., 144 Cal.App.4th at 1107-08</u>. 1111 (2006);

- (3) The materials requested exist;
- (4) The prosecution and law enforcement authorities involved in the case currently posses those materials.

Because Pen. Code § 1054.9 provides only for specific discovery and not the proverbial fishing expedition for anything that might exist, defendant seeking discovery beyond recovering what the prosecution had provided to the defense before trial must show reasonable basis to believe that specific requested materials actually exist.

Defendant moves the court for an order to be provided specifically for the following discovery material:

- 1. Mendell 911 call (concealed no peoples exhibit #)
- 2. Rhoads 911 call (peoples exhibit #2)
- 3. Rhoads recorded interview (peoples exhibit #15)
- 4. Mendell recorded interview (peoples exhibit #16)
- 5. Longfellow recorded interview (no audio) (peoples exhibit #5)
- 6. Rhoads' phone records from 3-20-08 of phone number 911 call was made from
- 7. Mendell's phone records from 3-20-08 of phone number 911 call was made from
- 8. Gina Holland phone records from 3-20-08
- 9. All Police reports for Rhoads house on, and prior to 3-20-08
- 10. Confidentiality agreement between Trial Counsel and district attorney's office regarding 911 calls and witness interviews (If there is no agreement, the DA must state in writing that no such agreement exists to counter Counsel's claim that the DA made him sign a confidentiality agreement before they would turn the recordings over to him)
- 11. Copy of Motion to Quash and Traverse search and arrest warrant,
- 12. Copy of minute order and audio recording from Motion to Quash and Traverse hearing held in Richmond court, by Judge Laurel Brady.
- 13. E-mail correspondences between trial counsel, district attorney's office, Steven Moawad, Private Investigator Mark Harrison, Walnut Creek Police department, any and all expert witnesses
- 14. the Affidavit in Support of Detention
- 15. the Affidavit in Support of Search Warrant and Arrest Warrant
- 16. the Affidavit in Support of Complaint
- 17. the Affidavit in Support of the Information

18. all digital photos

19, all CD's

20. all DVD's

Defendant has made the "good faith efforts" to obtain materials from counsel, and

has failed. (See attached exhibits)

The "good faith efforts" identified above and attached satisfied the defendant's

burden under Pen. Code § 1054.9. see also In re Steel, 32 Cal.4th at 693-694; Hurd v.

Super. Ct., 144 Cal.App.4th 1100, 1107 (2006); Barnett v. Super Ct. 50 Cal.4th 890, 898

(2010); Kennedy v. Super. Ct. 145 Cal. App. 359, 366.

Defendant moves the court for an order of the Court, that defendant be provided

the specific discovery requested.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that

the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 9-25-24.

By: _____

Nathan Medina

Enclosures: Informal Request

Proof of Service

1054.9 Discovery: Medium: AA4500

Page 5 of 6

FROOF OF SERVICE BY AFFIDAVIT

Fed. R. Civ. P. 5; 28 U.S.C. § 1746; C.C.P. § 2015.5)

I, Nathan Medina, declare:

I am over 18 years of age and I am domiciled in the Central Training Facility, at California State Prison, Soledad, California [93960]. I am familiar with the business practices within the CDCR for collecting, inspecting, sealing and processing legal documents(s) and accompanying attachment(s) for mailing using the United States Postal Service and I have complied with those requirements in the execution of this Proof of Service for this mailing.

On September 25, 2024, I served the attached:

Notice of Motion and Motion for Discovery in Anticipation of Filing Habeas Corpus on the parties herein by placing true and correct copies thereof, enclosed in an unsealed envelope, with the postage thereon fully paid, in the United States Postal Mail Box so provided at the above-named correctional institution in which I am presently domiciled. The envelope was addressed as follows:

A.F. Bray Courthouse 1020 Ward Street Martinez, California 94553

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 25th day of September, 2024 at Soledad, California.

Signature

Nathan Medina

PROOF OF SERVICE BY PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 5; 28 U.S.C. § 1746)

I. Nathan Medina, declare:	
I am over 18 years of age and a party to this action. I am domiciled in Central Training	
Facility, at California State Prison, in the county of Mondace. The address at	
this facility is P.O. Box 705, Soledad, California [93960].	
On 12-5-2024, I served the attached:	
Notice of Motion and Motion for Discovery 1054.9	
On the parties herein by placing true and correct copies thereof, enclosed in an unsealed	
envelope, with the postage thereon fully paid, in the United States Postal Mail Box so provided	
at the above-named correctional institution in which I am presently domiciled. The envelope	•
was addressed as follows:	
A.F. Bray Courthouse	
A.F. Bray Courthouse 1020 ward street.	
Marlinez, Ca 94553	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
foregoing is true and correct.	
Executed on this 5. day of December 2024 Signature:	

Prison Mailhox Rule

Under the "Prison Mailbox Rule" a prisoner's legal document is deemed filed, for statute of limitation purposes, when he hands it over to prison authorities for mailing [Houston v. Luck (1998) 487 U.S. 266, 274; Huizar v. Carcy(9th Cir. 2001) 273 F.3d 1220, 1222.] The mailbox rate applies to prisoners filing in both federal and state courts. [Huizar, 273 F.3d at p. 1223.] Silverbrand v. County of Los Angeles (2009) 4a Cal. 4h 106, 119-130